## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

BRYAN A. LAMB,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
VS.	) No. 16-2099-JDT-cgc
JAMES HOLLOWAY, ET AL.,	) )
Defendants.	)

## ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE A NON-PRISONER IN FORMA PAUPERIS AFFIDAVIT OR PAY THE \$400 CIVIL FILING FEE

On February 11, 2016, Plaintiff Bryan A. Lamb, who was, at the time, incarcerated at the West Tennessee State Penitentiary ("WTSP") in Henning, Tennessee, filed a *pro se* complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.) After Plaintiff submitted a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and inmate trust account statement, the Court issued an order on May 7, 2016, granting leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and assessing the \$350 civil filing fee pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(a)-(b). (ECF No. 7.)<sup>1</sup> However, on July 26, 2016, Plaintiff notified the Clerk that he had been released from prison and provided his new address. (ECF No. 9.)

Under the PLRA, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b), a prisoner bringing a civil action must pay the filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). The PLRA merely provides the prisoner the opportunity to make a "downpayment" of a partial filing fee and pay the remainder in installments. However, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff also notified the Court that he had been transferred from the WTSP to the Northeast Correctional Complex in Mountain City, Tennessee. (ECF No. 5.)

this case, only \$9.38 of the filing fee was paid prior to Plaintiff's release. Under these circumstances, the Sixth Circuit has held that, "[a]fter release, the obligation to pay the remainder of the fees is to be determined solely on the question of whether the released individual qualifies for pauper status." *McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 613 (6th Cir. 1997), *partially overruled on other grounds by LaFountain v. Harry*, 716 F.3d 944, 951 (6th Cir. 2013). Therefore, Plaintiff is ORDERED to submit either a non-prisoner *in forma pauperis* affidavit or the entire \$400 filing fee, of which \$390.62 remains outstanding,<sup>2</sup> within thirty (30) days after the date of this order. The Clerk shall mail Plaintiff a copy of the non-prisoner *in forma pauperis* affidavit form along with this order.

Failure to comply with this order in a timely manner will result in the dismissal of this action without further notice for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ James D. Todd JAMES D. TODD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Twenty-eight U.S.C. § 1914(a) requires a civil filing fee of \$350. However, pursuant to § 1914(b), "[t]he clerk shall collect from the parties such additional fees . . . as are prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States." The Judicial Conference has prescribed an additional administrative fee of \$50 for filing any civil case, except for cases seeking habeas corpus and cases in which the plaintiff is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Because Plaintiff initially was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in accordance with the PLRA, the Court did not assess the additional \$50 fee. However, if Plaintiff now chooses to give up his pauper status and pay the entire fee, he must also pay the \$50 administrative fee.